









Twin N

Grower Information					
Grower Name:	Joe Linton				
Entity Name:	LINTON A & JG PTY LTD ATF FAM TRUST				
Trial Farm No/Name:	BKN-01273A				
Mill Area:	Inkerman				
Total Farm Area ha:	58.92				
No. Years Farming:					
Trial Subdistrict:	Home hill area				
Area under Cane ha:	26.51				













Background Information

Aim: To investigate the potential of the Twin N product in reducing the amount of fertiliser required for Sugarcane production

Background: (Rationale for why this might work)

The Burdekin area has one of the highest yield potentials in regards to the sugarcane industry in Eastern Australia. As a result of this the nitrogen application rate is higher then most regions. Whilst many efforts are being made to reduce the amount of nitrogen applied, as well as the associated reef runoff, nitrogen rates are still high. There is a product that has been available on the market for many years now that falls under the biofert category. Twin N is a liquid product that is applied to sugarcane which works by injecting nitrogen fixing bacteria into the soil. It is a combination of selected microbial species (Diazotrophs) that act synergistically to increase crop yield by supplying nitrogen and plant growth factors. In addition to providing nitrogen the plant growth factors drive improved root growth that the TwinN treated plants capture any additional applied nitrogen more effectively. The microbes also act to increase the availability of other soil nutrients such as phosphorus that are present but not available for use by the crop.

If the product does what it is claiming to do then there could be some very beneficial savings to be made in regards to fertiliser applications.

Potential Water Quality Benefit:

If the TwinN product proves successful then the potential for reducing application rates in sugarcane greatly increases. With reduced application rates we can have a reduced amount of runoff coming from the farms.

Expected Outcome of Trial:

Whilst we are hopeful for the outcomes of the TwinN trial, there is no way to know whether or not it will be successful in the Sugarcane environment.

Service provider contact: Alice Warner (0402 924 955, alicew@farmacist.com.au

Where did this idea come from: The grower Joe Linton











<u>Plan -</u> <u>Project</u> <u>Activities</u>	Date : (mth/year to be undertaken)	Activities :(breakdown of each activity for each stage)
Stage 1	Nov 2018	 Design and implement a trial comparing differing Nitrogen rates and twin N application
Stage 2	August 2019	- Harvest trial (1R)
Stage 3	Dec 2019	- Analyse Data











Project Trial site details

Trial Crop:	Sugarcane
Variety: Rat/Plt:	Q240- 1R
Trial Block No/Name:	BKN-01273A-06-01
Trial Block Size Ha:	2ha
Trial Block Position (GPS):	147.391765° -19.680782°
Soil Type:	BUfb, CUmb











Block History, Trial Design:

1273 6 1											
6											
6											
1											
		6 guard	T1R1 Control 170N	140N	140N	140N	170N	T1R3 Control 170N	140N	140N	T3R3 No Nitroguard 140N
		oguard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Nitrogen Rate										
ontrol	-										
itroguard	140										
o Nitroguard	140										
the 11/5/18											
75ha											
	ontrol troguard o Nitroguard he 11/5/18	Nitrogen Rate ontrol 170 troguard 140 o Nitroguard 140 he 11/5/18	ntrol 170 troguard 140 n Nitroguard 140 he 11/5/18	Image: select	Image: second	Image: series of the series	Image: series of the series	Image: series of the series	Image: series of the series	Image: space of the state of	Image: space of the state of

The trial was implemented with three different treatments. A control treatment using 170KgN/ha- grower standard rate. A treatment using standard fertiliser applied at 140kgN/ha, and a treatment that uses the Nitroguard product and the 140kgN/ha rate. This is to enable even comparison between treatments so we can identify the effect that the nitroguard is having on the cane.











Results:

There are currently no results from this trial. It will be harvested during the 2019 crushing season.











Conclusions and comments

Advantages of this Practice Change:

Disadvantages of this Practice Change:

Will you be using this practice in the future:

% of farm you would be confident to use this practice :